

## UNITED STATE & DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, O.C. 20231

CERIAL NUMBER				
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
467754+736 0	77 X 57 8 5	SILVESTRINI	<del></del>	FC 67946
<u> </u>				
L				

CHARLES J. KNUTH FFIZER INC. 235 EAST 42ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10017

IOWAKO JU	MINER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
113	3
DATE MAILED:	0/24/05——

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

•						
176	Chis a	pplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	. This action is made final.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.						
Failu	ure to	respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 1	33			
Part	ı	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:				
L	17	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	PTO-948			
3.		Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  4. Notice of informal Patent				
5.		Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6.				
Part I	II	SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1.		Claims/ & 2o	ore pending in the application			
	7		are pending in the application.			
		Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.			
2.		Claims	have been cancelled.			
3.			are allowed.			
4.	×	Claims / & 20	are rejected.			
5.		Claims	are objected to.			
6,	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes matter is indicated.	until such time as allowable subject			
8.	Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.					
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawin not acceptable (see explanation).	ngs are acceptable;			
10.	The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).					
11.	1 The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved disapproved (see explanation). However,					
,		the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now <u>applicant's responsibility</u> corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	ty to ensure that the drawings are dister "INFORMATION ON HOW TO			
12.	12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received					
	(	been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;				
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
14.		Other				

PTOL+326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1 to 8 and 11 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Northey (3,359,983) or Listner (3,630,205).

Claims 1 to 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Glick (3,565,077).

Each of the prior art references teach a sterile surgical suture comprising a filament of a polymer of the type set forth in the instant claims. While the references do not specifically state that the filament is a "hard elastic" filament, it has the same properties as that of the instant claims and was prepared in that same manner. Therefore, the "hard elastic" properties would inherently be there whether recognized or not. The claimed invention is deemed to

,

be prima facie obvious in view of the filament sutures taught in the references of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to J. Howard at telephone number 703-557-2517.

Howard:ces 09/30/85

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 118